COBALT FERRITE FILMS AS DESCRIBED BY THIRD ORDER PERTURBED HEISENBERG HAMILTONIAN

P. SAMARASEKARA*

Department of Physics, University of Peradeniya, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka.

ABSTRACT

Magnetic properties of thick films of Cobalt ferrite have been investigated using third order perturbed modified Heisenberg Hamiltonian at different values of second and fourth order magnetic anisotropy. Thick films with up to 10,000 layers have been employed for this investigation. The magnetic energy versus number of layers, second and fourth order anisotropy have been plotted in order to find the magnetically easy and hard directions of cobalt ferrite films. Energy has minimum and maximum values at certain values of second and fourth order anisotropy values, indicating that there are magnetically easy and hard directions. Energy shows the same type of variations with number of layers in the film. For the values considered in this manuscript, the easy direction of magnetization was found to be 153° with the normal line drawn to the film surface. However, the easy axis direction depends on the values of parameters used in simulation. Determination of easy directions of magnetic films is important in applications of magnetic memory devices.

Keywords: Cobalt ferrite, thick films, Heisenberg Hamiltonian, perturbation

INTRODUCTION

Cobalt ferrite finds potential applications in, magnetic memory devices, microwave devices, hydrogen production devices (Rajendra *et al.*, 2011), microelectromechanical system devices, stress sensors, actuators and magnetic nanobowls. Cobalt ferrite magnetic thin films have been synthesized using electrostatic

* Corresponding author Email: pubudus@pdn.ac.lk

spray method (Rajendra *et al.*, 2011), pulsed laser deposition (Raghunathan *et al.*, 2010, Thang *et al.*, 2007, Araujo *et al.*, 2008), electrophoresis (Barbosa *et al.*, 2010). But theoretical investigations of cobalt ferrite films haven't been conducted using any theoretical model. The thin and thick films of Nickel ferrite have been theoretically investigated using non-perturbed (Samarasekara, 2007), 2nd order (Samarasekara *et al.*, 2009, Samarasekara, 2010) and 3rd order (Samarasekara & Mendoza, 2011, Samarasekara, 2011) perturbed Heisenberg Hamiltonian by us previously. The variation of magnetic energy with number of layers & stress induced anisotropy were plotted and the easy & hard directions were found using non-perturbed modified Heisenberg Hamiltonian (Samarasekara, 2007). The magnetic energy versus angle of spins & stress induced anisotropy were plotted and the easy & hard directions were calculated using the 2nd order perturbed modified Heisenberg Hamiltonian (Samarasekara *et al.*, 2009, Samarasekara, 2010). In addition, the variation of magnetic energy with number of layers & stress induced anisotropy were plotted and the easy & hard directions were found using the 3rd order perturbed modified Heisenberg Hamiltonian (Samarasekara & Mendoza, 2011, Samarasekara, 2011).

In this manuscript, the magnetic energy versus second order and fourth order magnetic anisotropy was plotted to find the easy and hard directions of magnetization using the 3rd order perturbed Heisenberg Hamiltonian. MATLAB was used to prepare all the graphs. The inverse spinel structure of Fe³⁺(Co²⁺Fe³⁺)O₄ was investigated. The spins of Co²⁺ and Fe³⁺ were considered to be 3μ_B and 5μ_B, respectively. An equation for the magnetic energy per unit spin of Cobalt was derived using 3rd order perturbed Heisenberg Hamiltonian.

MODEL

Following modified Hamiltonian was used as the model.

$$H = -J \sum_{m,n} \vec{S}_m \cdot \vec{S}_n + \omega \sum_{m \neq n} \left(\frac{\vec{S}_m \cdot \vec{S}_n}{r_{mn}^3} - \frac{3(\vec{S}_m \cdot \vec{r}_{mn})(\vec{r}_{mn} \cdot \vec{S}_n)}{r_{mn}^5} \right) - \sum_m D_{\lambda_m}^{(2)} (S_m^z)^2 - \sum_m D_{\lambda_m}^{(4)} (S_m^z)^4 - \sum_m \vec{H} \cdot \vec{S}_m - \sum_m K_s \sin 2\theta_m \quad (1)$$

Here, first, second, third and fourth terms represent the spin exchange interaction, magnetic dipole interaction, second order anisotropy and fourth order anisotropy, respectively. The indices of planes are denoted by m and n, and they vary from 1 to N for

a film with N number of layers. $\vec{S}_i$ is a spin vector with unit length at point $\vec{r}_i$ in layer λ_i . Therefore the ground state energy will be calculated per spin with Z-axis normal to film plane. $\vec{H}$ is the external magnetic field with the effective magnetic moment of the spins incorporated. $\omega = \frac{\mu_0 \mu^2}{4\pi a^3}$ is the strength of long range dipole interaction on a lattice with lattice constant a, where μ_0 is the permeability. r_{mn} is the separation between two spins s_m and s_n . $D_{\lambda_m}^{(2)}$ and $D_{\lambda_m}^{(4)}$ are second and fourth order magnetic anisotropy constants of the lattice, respectively. Here, the last term indicates the change of magnetic energy under the influence of a stress. K_s depends on the product of magnetostriction coefficient (λ_s) and the stress (σ). Here θ is the angle between local magnetization (M) and the stress. Within a single domain, M is parallel to the spin. If stress is applied normal to the film plane, then θ_m is the angle between a perpendicular line drawn to the film plane and the local spin. Here K_s can be positive or negative depending on the type of stress whether it is compressive or tensile.

The cubic cell has been divided into 8 spin layers with alternative A and Fe spins layers. The spins of A and Fe will be taken as 1 and p, respectively. While the spins in one layer point in one direction, spins in adjacent layers point in opposite directions. A thin film with (001) spinel cubic cell orientation will be considered. The length of one side of unit cell will be taken as “a”. Within the cell the spins orient in one direction due to the super exchange interaction between spins (or magnetic moments). Therefore the results proven for oriented case in one of our early reports will be used for following equations (Samarasekara, 2007) but the angle θ will vary from θ_m to θ_{m+1} at the interface between two cells.

For a thin film with thickness Na,

$$\text{Spin interaction energy} = E_{\text{exchange}} = N(-10J + 72Jp - 22Jp^2) + 8Jp \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \cos(\theta_{m+1} - \theta_m)$$

$$\text{Dipole interaction energy} = E_{\text{dipole}}$$

$$E_{\text{dipole}} = -48.415\omega \sum_{m=1}^N (1 + 3\cos 2\theta_m) + 20.41\omega p \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} [\cos(\theta_{m+1} - \theta_m) + 3\cos(\theta_{m+1} + \theta_m)]$$

Here the first and second term in each above equation represent the variation of energy within the cell and the interface of the cell, respectively. Then total energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\theta) = & N(-10J + 72Jp - 22Jp^2) + 8Jp \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \cos(\theta_{m+1} - \theta_m) \\
 & - 48.415\omega \sum_{m=1}^N (1 + 3\cos 2\theta_m) + 20.41\omega p \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} [\cos(\theta_{m+1} - \theta_m) + 3\cos(\theta_{m+1} + \theta_m)] \\
 & - \sum_{m=1}^N [D_m^{(2)} \cos^2 \theta_m + D_m^{(4)} \cos^4 \theta_m] \\
 & - 4(1-p) \sum_{m=1}^N [H_{in} \sin \theta_m + H_{out} \cos \theta_m + K_s \sin 2\theta_m] \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Here the anisotropy energy term and the last term have been explained in our previous report for oriented spinel ferrite (Samarasekara, 2007). If the angle is given by $\theta_m = \theta + \varepsilon_m$ with perturbation ε_m , after taking the terms up to third order perturbation of ε , The total energy can be given as $E(\theta) = E_0 + E(\varepsilon) + E(\varepsilon^2) + E(\varepsilon^3)$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_0 = & -10JN + 72pNJ - 22Jp^2N + 8Jp(N-1) - 48.415\omega N - 145.245\omega N \cos(2\theta) \\
 & + 20.41\omega p [(N-1) + 3(N-1)\cos(2\theta)] \\
 & - \cos^2 \theta \sum_{m=1}^N D_m^{(2)} - \cos^4 \theta \sum_{m=1}^N D_m^{(4)} - 4(1-p)N(H_{in} \sin \theta + H_{out} \cos \theta + K_s \sin 2\theta) \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\varepsilon) = & 290.5\omega \sin(2\theta) \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon_m - 61.23\omega p \sin(2\theta) \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} (\varepsilon_m + \varepsilon_n) \\
 & + \sin 2\theta \sum_{m=1}^N D_m^{(2)} \varepsilon_m + 2\cos^2 \theta \sin 2\theta \sum_{m=1}^N D_m^{(4)} \varepsilon_m \\
 & + 4(1-p)[-H_{in} \cos \theta \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon_m + H_{out} \sin \theta \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon_m - 2K_s \cos 2\theta \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon_m] \quad (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\varepsilon^2) = & -4Jp \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} (\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m)^2 + 290.5\omega \cos(2\theta) \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon_m^2 - 10.2\omega p \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} (\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m)^2 \\
 & - 30.6\omega p \cos(2\theta) \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} (\varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_m)^2 \\
 & + \cos 2\theta \sum_{m=1}^N D_m^{(2)} \varepsilon_m^2 + 2\cos^2 \theta (\cos^2 \theta - 3\sin^2 \theta) \sum_{m=1}^N D_m^{(4)} \varepsilon_m^2 \\
 & + 4(1-p) \left[\frac{H_{in}}{2} \sin \theta \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon_m^2 + \frac{H_{out}}{2} \cos \theta \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon_m^2 + 2K_s \sin 2\theta \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon_m^2 \right] \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\varepsilon^3) = & 10.2p\omega \sin 2\theta \sum_{m,n=1}^N (\varepsilon_m + \varepsilon_n)^3 - 193.66\omega \sin 2\theta \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon_m^3 - \frac{4}{3} \cos \theta \sin \theta \sum_{m=1}^N D_m^{(2)} \varepsilon_m^3 \\
 & - 4 \cos \theta \sin \theta \left(\frac{5}{3} \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta \right) \sum_{m=1}^N D_m^{(4)} \varepsilon_m^3 \\
 & + 4(1-p) \left[\frac{H_{in}}{6} \cos \theta \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon_m^3 - \frac{H_{out}}{6} \sin \theta \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon_m^3 + \frac{4K_s}{3} \cos 2\theta \sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon_m^3 \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

The sin and cosine terms in equation number 2 have been expanded to obtain above equations. Here $n = m + 1$.

Under the constraint $\sum_{m=1}^N \varepsilon_m = 0$, first and last three terms of equation 4 are zero.

Therefore, $E(\varepsilon) = \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}$

Here $\vec{\alpha}(\varepsilon) = \vec{B}(\theta) \sin 2\theta$ are the terms of matrices with

$$B_\lambda(\theta) = -122.46\omega p + D_\lambda^{(2)} + 2D_\lambda^{(4)} \cos^2 \theta \quad (6)$$

Also $E(\varepsilon^2) = \frac{1}{2} \vec{\varepsilon} \cdot C \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}$, and matrix C is assumed to be symmetric ($C_{mn} = C_{nm}$).

Here the elements of matrix C can be given as following,

$$C_{m, m+1} = 8Jp + 20.4\omega p - 61.2p\omega \cos(2\theta)$$

For $m=1$ and N ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{mm} = & -8Jp - 20.4\omega p - 61.2p\omega \cos(2\theta) + 581\omega \cos(2\theta) + 2 \cos 2\theta D_m^{(2)} \\
 & + 4 \cos^2 \theta (\cos^2 \theta - 3 \sin^2 \theta) D_m^{(4)} + 4(1-p) [H_{in} \sin \theta + H_{out} \cos \theta + 4K_s \sin(2\theta)] \quad (7)
 \end{aligned}$$

For $m=2, 3, \dots, N-1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{mm} = & -16Jp - 40.8\omega p - 122.4p\omega \cos(2\theta) + 581\omega \cos(2\theta) + 2 \cos 2\theta D_m^{(2)} \\
 & + 4 \cos^2 \theta (\cos^2 \theta - 3 \sin^2 \theta) D_m^{(4)} + 4(1-p) [H_{in} \sin \theta + H_{out} \cos \theta + 4K_s \sin(2\theta)]
 \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, $C_{mn}=0$

Also $E(\varepsilon^3) = \varepsilon^2 \beta \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}$

Here matrix elements of matrix β can be given as following.

When $m = 1$ and N ,

$$\beta_{mm} = -193.66\omega \sin 2\theta + 10.2p\omega \sin 2\theta - \frac{4}{3}\cos\theta \sin\theta D_m^{(2)} - 4\cos\theta \sin\theta \left(\frac{5}{3}\cos^2\theta - \sin^2\theta\right) D_m^{(4)} + 4(1-p)\left[\frac{H_{in}}{6}\cos\theta - \frac{H_{out}}{6}\sin\theta + \frac{4K_s}{3}\cos 2\theta\right]$$

When $m = 2, 3, \dots, N-1$

$$\beta_{mm} = -193.66\omega \sin 2\theta + 20.4p\omega \sin 2\theta - \frac{4}{3}\cos\theta \sin\theta D_m^{(2)} - 4\cos\theta \sin\theta \left(\frac{5}{3}\cos^2\theta - \sin^2\theta\right) D_m^{(4)} + 4(1-p)\left[\frac{H_{in}}{6}\cos\theta - \frac{H_{out}}{6}\sin\theta + \frac{4K_s}{3}\cos 2\theta\right]$$

$$\beta_{m,m+1} = 30.6p\omega \sin 2\theta \quad (8)$$

Otherwise $\beta_{nm} = 0$. Also matrix β is symmetric such that $\beta_{nm} = \beta_{mn}$.

Finally, the total magnetic energy given in equation 2 can be deduced to

$$E(\theta) = E_0 + \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{\varepsilon} \cdot C \cdot \vec{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^2 \beta \cdot \vec{\varepsilon} \quad (9)$$

Only the second order terms of ε will be considered for following derivation, since the derivation with the third order terms of ε in above equation is tedious.

$$\text{Then } E(\theta) = E_0 + \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{\varepsilon} \cdot C \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}$$

Using a suitable constraint in above equation, it is possible to show that $\vec{\varepsilon} = -C^+ \cdot \vec{\alpha}$

Here C^+ is the pseudo-inverse given by

$$C \cdot C^+ = 1 - \frac{E}{N} \quad (10)$$

Each element in matrix E is 1.

$$\text{After using } \vec{\varepsilon} \text{ in equation 9, } E(\theta) = E_0 - \frac{1}{2} \vec{\alpha} \cdot C^+ \cdot \vec{\alpha} - (C^+ \alpha)^2 \vec{\beta} \cdot (C^+ \alpha) \quad (11)$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Value of p for cobalt ferrite is 1.67. According to equation 10, C^+ is the standard inverse matrix of C , when N is really large. When the difference between m and n is one, $C_{m, m+1} = 8Jp + 20.4\omega p - 61.2p\omega \cos(2\theta)$. If H_{in} , H_{out} and K_s are very large, then $C_{11} \gg C_{12}$.

If this $C_{m, m+1} = 0$, then the matrix C becomes diagonal, and the elements of inverse matrix C^+ is given by $C^+_{mm} = \frac{1}{C_{mm}}$. Therefore all the derivation will be done under above assumption to avoid tedious derivations.

From equation 3,

$$E_0 = -10JN + 120.24NJ - 61.36JN + 13.36J(N-1) - 48.415\omega N - 145.245\omega N \cos(2\theta) + 34.1\omega[(N-1) + 3(N-1)\cos(2\theta)]$$

From equation 7,

$$C_{11} = C_{NN} = -13.36J - 34.07\omega + 478.8\omega \cos(2\theta) + 2\cos 2\theta D_m^{(2)} + 4\cos^2 \theta (\cos^2 \theta - 3\sin^2 \theta) D_m^{(4)} - 2.68[H_{in} \sin \theta + H_{out} \cos \theta + 4K_s \sin(2\theta)]$$

For $m=2, 3, \dots, N-1$

$$C_{22} = C_{33} = \dots = C_{N-1, N-1} = -26.72J - 68.14\omega + 376.59\omega \cos(2\theta) + 2\cos 2\theta D_m^{(2)} + 4\cos^2 \theta (\cos^2 \theta - 3\sin^2 \theta) D_m^{(4)} - 2.68[H_{in} \sin \theta + H_{out} \cos \theta + 4K_s \sin(2\theta)]$$

From equation 6,

$$\alpha_1 = [-306.15\omega + D_\lambda^{(2)} + 2D_\lambda^{(4)} \cos^2 \theta] \sin(2\theta)$$

$$\beta_{11} = \beta_{NN} = -168.16\omega \sin 2\theta - \frac{4}{3} \cos \theta \sin \theta D_m^{(2)} - 4\cos \theta \sin \theta (\frac{5}{3} \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) D_m^{(4)} - 6[\frac{H_{in}}{6} \cos \theta - \frac{H_{out}}{6} \sin \theta + \frac{4K_s}{3} \cos 2\theta]$$

$$\beta_{22} = -142.66\omega \sin 2\theta - \frac{4}{3} \cos \theta \sin \theta D_m^{(2)} - 4\cos \theta \sin \theta (\frac{5}{3} \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) D_m^{(4)} - 6[\frac{H_{in}}{6} \cos \theta - \frac{H_{out}}{6} \sin \theta + \frac{4K_s}{3} \cos 2\theta]$$

$$\beta_{m, m+1} = 76.5\omega \sin 2\theta$$

$$(C^+ \alpha)^2 \beta(C^+ \alpha) = (C_{11}^+ \alpha_1)^2 (\beta_{11} C_{11}^+ \alpha_1 + \beta_{12} C_{22}^+ \alpha_2 + \dots + \beta_{1N} C_{NN}^+ \alpha_N) + (C_{22}^+ \alpha_2)^2 (\beta_{21} C_{11}^+ \alpha_1 + \beta_{22} C_{22}^+ \alpha_2 + \dots + \beta_{2N} C_{NN}^+ \alpha_N) + (C_{33}^+ \alpha_3)^2 (\beta_{31} C_{11}^+ \alpha_1 + \beta_{32} C_{22}^+ \alpha_2 + \dots + \beta_{3N} C_{NN}^+ \alpha_N) + \dots + (C_{NN}^+ \alpha_N)^2 (\beta_{N1} C_{11}^+ \alpha_1 + \beta_{N2} C_{22}^+ \alpha_2 + \dots + \beta_{NN} C_{NN}^+ \alpha_N)$$

$$(C^+ \alpha)^2 \beta(C^+ \alpha) = \alpha^3 [\frac{2}{C_{11}^2} (\frac{\beta_{11}}{C_{11}} + \frac{\beta_{12}}{C_{22}}) + \frac{2}{C_{22}^2} (\frac{\beta_{12}}{C_{11}} + \frac{\beta_{22} + \beta_{12}}{C_{22}}) + \frac{N-4}{C_{22}^3} (2\beta_{12} + \beta_{22})]$$

All the simulations given in Figure 1 and 2 have been performed for films with 10000 layers ($N=10,000$). The variation of total magnetic energy given in equation 11 was plotted against angle and $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega}$ as shown in figure 1. The other values were kept at $\frac{J}{\omega} = \frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega} = \frac{H_{in}}{\omega} = \frac{H_{out}}{\omega} = \frac{K_s}{\omega} = 10$ for this simulation. Because the ratios between energies have been considered, all the parameters on axes are dimensionless. According to this 3-D plot, energy is a minimum at certain values of $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega}$ such as $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega} = 27, 38, 41, 52, 55, 58, \dots$ etc. This implies that the film can be easily oriented along the easy direction for $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega} = 27, 38, 41, 52, 55, 58, \dots$ etc. Also the energy is a maximum at $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega} = 21, 33, 39, 47, \dots$ etc, implying that it is difficult to orient the film along the hard direction at these values of $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega}$. There are several certain easy and hard directions at any of these $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega}$ values.

Figure 1: 3-D plot of energy versus angle and fourth order anisotropy

Then figure 2 shows the 3-D plot of magnetic energy found in equation 11 versus angle and $\frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega}$ for $\frac{J}{\omega} = \frac{H_{in}}{\omega} = \frac{H_{out}}{\omega} = \frac{K_s}{\omega} = 10$ and $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega} = 5$. The energy is a minimum at $\frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega} = 11, 19, 22, 25, 59, 66$ -----etc. This means that the film is easy to magnetize along the easy directions at these values of $\frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega} = 11, 19, 22, 25, 59, 66$ -----etc. In addition, the energy is a maximum at $\frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega} = 5, 28, 65, 81, 95, 98$ -----etc, indicating that the film is difficult to magnetize along the hard directions at these values of $\frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega}$. According to the graph, there are many easy and hard directions for one particular value of $\frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega}$.

Figure2: 3-D plot of energy versus angle and second order anisotropy

The 2-D plot of magnetic energy versus angle is given in figure 3 for $\frac{J}{\omega} = \frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega} = \frac{H_{in}}{\omega} = \frac{H_{out}}{\omega} = \frac{K_s}{\omega} = 10$ and $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega} = 5$. Here N was taken as 10,000. One minimum can be observed at $\theta = 2.67$ radians (153°) corresponding to the easy direction of magnetization of cobalt ferrite film with 10,000 layers.

Figure 3: Graph of energy versus azimuthal angle of spin

Figure4: 3-D plot of energy versus angle and number of layers of film

Figure 4 shows the 3-D plot of energy versus angle and number of layers for $\frac{J}{\omega} = \frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega} = \frac{H_{in}}{\omega} = \frac{H_{out}}{\omega} = \frac{K_s}{\omega} = 10$ and $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega} = 5$. The curve has minimum values at $N = 30, 52, 55, 67, 88$ ----etc. This means that the film can be easily align along the easy directions at these N values. The maximums of the 3-D plot can be found at $N = 44, 46, 82, 95$ -----etc, implying that it is difficult to align the film in magnetically hard direction at these N values. Some experimental evidences for the dependence of magnetically easy direction on film thickness have been reported for CrO_2 (Anwar & Aarts, 2011).

CONCLUSIONS

The total magnetic energy found using the third order perturbed Heisenberg Hamiltonian is persuasive that there are minimum and maximum values of energies at certain values of number of layers (N), second order ($\frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega}$) and fourth order magnetic anisotropy ($\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega}$). This implies that there are magnetically easy and hard directions of the cobalt ferrite film. For $\frac{J}{\omega} = \frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega} = \frac{H_{in}}{\omega} = \frac{H_{out}}{\omega} = \frac{K_s}{\omega} = 10$, energy is a minimum at $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega} = 27, 38, 41, 52, 55, 58$ --- etc and, the energy is a maximum at $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega} = 21, 33, 39, 47$, --- etc. For $\frac{J}{\omega} = \frac{H_{in}}{\omega} = \frac{H_{out}}{\omega} = \frac{K_s}{\omega} = 10$ and $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega} = 5$, the energy is a minimum at $\frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega} = 11, 19, 22, 25, 59, 66$ -----etc and, the energy is a maximum at $\frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega} = 5, 28, 65, 81, 95, 98$ -----etc. The films can be easily aligned along some certain directions at the above values of $\frac{D_m^{(2)}}{\omega}$ and $\frac{D_m^{(4)}}{\omega}$ corresponding to energy minimums.

REFERENCES

- Anwar M.S. and Aarts J., 2011. Inducing supercurrents in thin films of ferromagnetic CrO_2 . *Superconductor Science Technology* **24**: ID 024016.
- Araújo C., Almeida B.G., Aguiar M. and Mendes J.A., 2008. Structural and magnetic properties of CoFe_2O_4 thin films deposited by laser ablation on Si (001) substrates. *Vacuum* **82** (12): 1437-1440.
- Barbosa J.G., Pereira M.R., Mendes J.A., Proença M.P., Araújo J.P. and Almeida B.G., 2010. Cobalt ferrite thin films deposited by electrophoresis on p-doped Si substrates. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 200: ID 072009.
- Raghunathan A., Nlebedim I.C., Jiles D.C. and Snyder J.E., 2010. Growth of crystalline Cobalt ferrite thin films at lower temperatures using pulsed laser deposition technique. *Journal of Applied Physics* **107**(9): ID 09A516.
- Rajendra S. Gaikwad, Sang-Youn Chae, Rajaram S. Mane, Sung-Hwan Han and Oh-Shim Joo, 2011. Cobalt Ferrite Nanocrystallites for Sustainable Hydrogen Production Application. *International Journal of Electrochemistry* 2011: ID 729141.
- Samarasekara P., 2007. Classical Heisenberg Hamiltonian Solution of Oriented Spinel Ferrimagnetic Thin Films. *Electronic Journal of Theoretical Physics* **4**(15): 187-200.
- Samarasekara P., Abeyratne M.K. and Dehipawalage S., 2009. Heisenberg Hamiltonian with Second Order Perturbation for Spinel Ferrite Thin Films. *Electronic Journal of Theoretical Physics* **6**(20): 345-356.
- Samarasekara P., 2010. Determination of Energy of thick spinel ferrite films using Heisenberg Hamiltonian with second order perturbation. *Georgian Electronic scientific Journals: Physics* **1**(3): 46-52.
- Samarasekara P. and Mendoza William A., 2011. Third Order Perturbed Heisenberg Hamiltonian of Spinel Ferrite Ultra-thin films. *Georgian Electronic scientific Journals: Physics* **1**(5): 15-24.
- Samarasekara P., 2011. Investigation of Third Order Perturbed Heisenberg Hamiltonian of Thick Spinel Ferrite Films. *Inventi Rapid: Algorithm Journal* **2**(1): 1-3.
- Thang P.D., Rijnders G. and Blank D.H.A., 2007. Stress-induced magnetic anisotropy of CoFe_2O_4 thin films using pulsed laser deposition. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* **310** (2): 2621-2623.